UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                   | FILING DATE                          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION I |               |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|
| 10/588,969                        | 08/10/2006                           | Akira Okutani        | 2006_1296A                         | 3930          |
|                                   | 7590 02/17/201<br>, LIND & PONACK, I | EXAMINER             |                                    |               |
| 1030 15th Stree<br>Suite 400 East | t, N.W.,                             | PACKARD, BENJAMIN J  |                                    |               |
| Washington, DO                    | C 20005-1503                         | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER                       |               |
|                                   |                                      |                      | 1612                               |               |
|                                   |                                      |                      |                                    |               |
|                                   |                                      |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE                  | DELIVERY MODE |
|                                   |                                      |                      | 02/17/2011                         | ELECTRONIC    |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com eoa@wenderoth.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. |                  | Applicant(s)   |  |
|-----------------|------------------|----------------|--|
|                 | 10/588,969       | OKUTANI ET AL. |  |
|                 | Examiner         | Art Unit       |  |
|                 | BENJAMIN PACKARD | 1612           |  |

| BENJA  | MIN PACKARD  | 1612  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on t  | the cover sheet with the d   | correspondence add  | ress  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 08 February 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC  | HE REPLY FILED <u>08 February 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the san this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of A a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 time periods:   | ne day as filing a Notice of<br>lies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>ppeal (with appeal fee) in c        | Appeal. To avoid aba<br>idavit, or other eviden<br>compliance with 37 Cl            | ce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the fir</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY</li> </ul>  | Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>SIX MONTHS from the mailing                                   | g date of the final rejection   | on.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | the petition under 37 CFR 1.1<br>nd the corresponding amount<br>I statutory period for reply origi | 36(a) and the appropria<br>of the fee. The appropri<br>inally set in the final Offi | te extension fee<br>ate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL   |  | <b>.</b>  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance we filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>  | ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th   | e appeal. Since   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior  | to the date of filing a brief,   | will not be entered be  | ecause  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further considerat</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul>  | ion and/or search (see NO  | TE below);  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c)  ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding and correspondin | ,  |   | the issues for  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and  |  | ected ciaiiris.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |  | mpliant Amendment   | (PTOL-324).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s).   | •  | •   | -   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will repose the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 13-16.   |  | II be entered and an e  | explanation of  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcom-<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was  | e <u>all</u> rejections under appe   | al and/or appellant fai   | ls to provide a   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | status of the claims after e   | ntry is below or attach   | ned.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does N<br>See Continuation Sheet.  | NOT place the application in   | n condition for allowar   | nce because:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/SE</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>   | 3/08) Paper No(s)  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | /Benjamin Packard/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 1612  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has proposed to amend claim 1 to recite the limitation "wherein the preservative is dispersed only in the coating composition which coats the biological active substance". This limitation was not previously considered in regard to the compositions of the claims, and further analysis would be required to determine whether limiting the preservative to only the coating composition would have been obvious. Additionally a further search would also have to be made to determine the state of the art with regard to this issue.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments that the newly amended claims are patentable over the prior art references are moot at this time due to non-entry of the proposed amendment. .